



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_49359
Title of the Manuscript:	The effective agricultural extension approach
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>In the abstract section, the method applied and the result should be mentioned with a sentence. Keywords are not appropriate, must be rewritten. The introduction section is written as a summary and a result. It should be rewritten in a way that expresses the importance of the study and expresses its purpose clearly. APPLICATION OF AGRICULTURAL EXTENSION APPROACHES IN EGYPT the results of the applications mentioned in this section should be mentioned and the application should be explained.</p> <p>The conclusion section is insufficient. The conclusion section should be rewritten to cover the whole study.</p>	<p>Amended</p> <p>Amended</p> <p>Amended</p> <p>Amended</p> <p>Amended</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	