



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGE_48450
Title of the Manuscript:	Diversity Analysis of Sweet Potato (<i>Ipomoea batatas</i> [L.] lam) Accessions from North Central Nigeria using Morphological and Simple Sequence Repeats Markers
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Report precise introduction and justification in the abstract. Report justification and objective(s) of the study in the introduction. Please mention the names of the characters measured and recorded under data collection. Figure 2 should be clearly corrected. Results should be discuss more and supported with recent citations. References and citations should follow journal guidelines.	
Minor REVISION comments	Minor corrections should be corrected as highlighted and indicated in the manuscript.	Correction made in the manuscript
Optional/General comments	Introduction and methodology were clear and understandable. Report precise introduction and justification in the abstract. Report justification and objective(s) of the study in the introduction. Please mention the names of the characters measured and recorded under data collection. Figure 2 should be clearly corrected. Results should be discuss more and supported with recent citations. References and citations should follow journal guidelines. The manuscript should be accepted for publication after moderate revisions and minor corrections.	Done

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	