



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGE_48450
Title of the Manuscript:	Diversity Analysis of Sweet Potato (<i>Ipomoea batatas</i> [L.] lam) Accessions from North Central Nigeria using Morphological and Simple Sequence Repeats Markers
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The article entitled, "Diversity Analysis of Sweet Potato (<i>Ipomoea batatas</i> [L.] lam) Accessions from North Central Nigeria using Morphological and Simple Sequence Repeats Markers". The manuscript results are well presented and discussed properly. Manuscript is useful for journal readers globally. This article may be accepted for publication after minor revision. 1. Keywords should be minimum five meaningful and alphabetically arranged. 2. Follow the preparation of manuscript and reference according to journal pattern. 3. Some typographical errors should be corrected.	All correction done in the revision
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	