



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_49411
Title of the Manuscript:	Intramuscular Abdominal Wall Endometriosis away from Caesarean Scar; a Diagnostic Dilemma for Surgeons
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Introduction:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Endometriosis may develop in any organ in extra pelvic sites (Endometriosis may develop in any organ in pelvic or extrapelvic sites) Endometriosis can occur at any site in the body but pelvic endometriosis is much more commoner than extrapelvic endometriosis - Introduction has no references – Please mention appropriate references at all applicable site <p>Case Study</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Name of the hospital where the patient presented - Please restructure the sentence and remove ?? desmoids tumor, such abbreviations and symbols are not used in medical literature, they could be used for clinical records and case sheets but not for published medical literature - Please use proper tense structure <p>Discussion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - There are no references mentioned in discussion section as well, please mention the reference as and where required 	Thank you for your comments all the corrections suggested by the reviewer have been done accordingly.
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Abstract: Line 8 – Caesarean Section spelling Line 12 – musculooperitoneal</p> <p>Case Study: Line 39 – sections Line 55 – Please restructure the sentence with appropriate grammar usage Line 70 – sutures</p> <p>Discussion: Line 88, 97 – avoid capital words in between sentences Line 101 – 103 – improper sentence structure, please amend Line 101 – use full form when used for the first time, abbreviations (even if standardised) shouldn't be used at first description Line 120 – 121 – tense error, sentence needs restructuring Line 124 - followed like as swabs Line 127 - scar washed wash the wound with</p>	
Optional/General comments	No reference citations are made in the introduction and discussion section, usage of information from other papers without properly citing their work amounts to plagiarism, but because the authors have mentioned references at the end of the text the paper will be considered until they provide sufficient citations throughout the text with proper credit to other authors for their work.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	
If plagiarism is suspected, <u>please provide related proofs or web links.</u>	No, but references need to be cited in the text	