



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_27957
Title of the Manuscript:	Endangered Traditional Seeds Conservation through Community-Based Seed Banks
Type of the Article	Scientific note

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• There are too few references (4). This need to be increased. There should be at least 10 references.• Table 1 should be presented in a different and concise way. Perhaps in a bar form.	OK
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The document is very well written and describes very well the work done. The work in itself is very interesting and worth	