



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_27957
Title of the Manuscript:	Endangered Traditional Seeds Conservation through Community-Based Seed Banks
Type of the Article	Study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Manuscript is study, has not a character of research article.</p> <p>In line 29 and 56 - what does it mean SHG's ? In line 41 - what does it mean BIRD-k? In line 62 - what does it mean NGO's ? In line 74 - In the title omit : 2.1 STUDY AREA:</p>	OK
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>Methodology and conclusion needs to be completed about optimum temperature, optimum humidity and storage times for different types of seeds.</p>	OK
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>Authors should study the basic principles of works in genetic seed banks. In many countries, they are stored plant seeds in seed banks for a short or long-term storage.</p> <p>References are poor, need to read more articles about storage of plant seeds.</p>	OK