



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_48036
Title of the Manuscript:	Evolution and Emerging Trends in HFT Research
Type of the Article	Original research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Authors should have proposed any algorithm or flowchart to draw analysis of SNA. 2) They have just write theory content in proposed methodology section and how results will be generated using that methodology, It is totally missed. 3) They don't have shown any statistics or characteristics of network in different time slices. 	Thanks for your valuable comments. The authors have added flow chart regarding the example of SNA steps in literature-based research which was shown in Fig.1. The authors have revised the paper accordingly. The authors have added statistics of network in different time slices as shown in Fig.6. The authors hope this paper becomes more readable and acceptable now.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	
If plagiarism is suspected, please provide related proofs or web links.	<p>It has so much plagiarised content. This should be reducing.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> PLAGIARIZED "Market" revealed to be the most important keyword by betweenness</p>	Thanks for your valuable comments. The authors have revised the paper accordingly. The paper has been done extensive additions and rewriting with all the corrections highlighted in yellow color.



SDI Review Form 1.6

centrality measuring for all three periods, and it has received consistent and high attention over the past three periods.
Compare
 PLAGIARIZED
"Stock" and "model" also received upward attention since 1993 until 2017.
Compare
 PLAGIARIZED
"Volatility" and "trades" were paid growing attention from 1993 through 2012 period, while 2013 to 2017 were not.
Compare
 PLAGIARIZED
"Liquidity", "finance" and "financial markets" are emerging theme since 2003 year du
 PLAGIARIZED
"Market" revealed to be the most important keyword by betweenness centrality measuring for all three periods, and it has received consistent and high attention over the past three periods.
Compare
 PLAGIARIZED
"Stock" and "model" also received upward attention since 1993 until 2017.
Compare
 PLAGIARIZED
"Volatility" and "trades" were paid growing attention from 1993 through 2012 period, while 2013 to 2017 were not.
Compare
 PLAGIARIZED
"Liquidity", "finance" and "financial markets" are emerging theme since 2003 year d