



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_48036
Title of the Manuscript:	Evolution and Emerging Trends in HFT Research
Type of the Article	Original research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The subject is interesting. However, some issues should be improved:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The methodology has to be expanded and the specific SNA procedures and analysis adopted must be more explicit and detailed. Figures 1 to 4 have very poor reading. I suggest their replacement for increased quality images, one per page, horizontally aligned. That will immensely improve the reader's ability to interpret the images. A three paragraph conclusion is clearly insufficient and must be expanded in order for the article to be publishable. 	<p>Thanks for the valuable comments.</p> <p>First, the methodology has been expanded. The specific SNA procedures and analysis adopted have been done extensive additions and rewriting.</p> <p>Second, figures 1 to 4 have been re-numbered and been replaced for increased quality images, one per page, horizontally aligned.</p> <p>Third, the conclusion has been expanded.</p> <p>The authors hope this paper becomes more readable and acceptable now.</p>
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	