



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_31941
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE ASSESSMENT OF FISH PROCESSING, PACKAGING AND MARKETING ACTIVITIES IN IBENO AND IKOT-ABASI LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREAS OF AKWA-IBOM STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Paper was good. But the assesement of fish production and marketing activities in Ibeno (IB) and Ikot-Abasi (IK) Local Government Areas of Akwa-Ibom State, Nigeria – aim and survey and recommendation was good. Apart from the survey author may include the quality of traditionally smoked fish quality. How to develop the livelihoods of women Capacity building programs in a small level for the women those who involved in the fish processing Development of new techniques for fish processing Hygienic method used in fish processing.	The aim of this survey works doesn't cover for quality assessment as this sometimes cannot just be ascertain by mere looking or asking but requires some laboratory analysis which is outside the scope of this work
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The present work was good. Apart from the survey author may include some technical quality, then this work will be useful for the processors.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		