SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJEE_42760**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Temporal variations of Urban air pollutants in Damietta Port, North Egypt**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	In order to make for a stronger paper, I suggest that the authors should cite and discuss the following relevant paper, referring to the important aspect of ultrafine particulate: – Albuquerque et al., "Assessment of exposure to airborne ultrafine particles in the urban environment of Lisbon, Portugal", Journal of Air and Waste Management Association, 62, 373-380 (2012) - **DOI: 10.1080/10962247.2012.658957** I do recommend the publication of this paper, subjected to these changes.	Corrections done
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The subject of the paper clearly falls within the scope of this Journal. The paper is very interesting, well written and well organized, and represents some advancement over the actual state-of-the-art. The ways and means are well described as well as the obtained results which are thoroughly discussed and conclusions are well drawn. The paper is also supported by some literature review.	