



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_46637
Title of the Manuscript:	Factors Affecting the Academic Performance of the Students of Bowen University, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Abstract -give specific research objectives -give type of questionnaire used to collect data -type of descriptive statistics used to analyse data -sampling techniques used to select the sample -significance and recommendations of the study to be given</p> <p>Introduction -background to the study -the background is too brief and not supported by any cited authorities -the researcher to give specific factors being investigated -problem statement is not supported by any evidence or authorities</p> <p>Literature review -reviewed literature is inadequate and does not show the findings. The researcher has not done literature review according to the specific research objectives Scope of the study -include also respondent research instruments and variables Conceptual framework -should be illustrated by using a diagram to illustrate the relationship between independent and dependent variables Sampling techniques -researcher should have also used stratified sampling to get the sample for each faculty</p> <p>Conclusion -add also summary of findings and recommendations</p> <p>References -researcher to check and use the 6th edition of APA style of referencing</p>	<p>Abstract Has been adjusted accordingly.</p> <p>Introduction Adjusted accordingly</p> <p>Literature Review Adjusted accordingly</p> <p>Conceptual Framework Adjusted accordingly</p> <p>Sampling Techniques Clarified.</p> <p>Conclusion Adjusted accordingly</p> <p>References Done according to the specifications of the journal.</p>
Optional/General comments		

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No