



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_47084
Title of the Manuscript:	Ecological Approach of Plankton Responses to Water Quality Variables of Tropical River, South-eastern Nigeria: A Bio-indicator-Based Community Assessment of Idundu River.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Study have not shown how the plankton distribution, diversity and some water quality variables of Idundu River has influence the plankton abundance as stated in abstract Study only show the various results of bio-indicators in the various location. How the different activities affect the results is not shown. Is there any trend in the variation of these bio indicators within the period of sampling? 	<p>*Please check the corrected manuscripts.</p> <p>*Please check discussion under the corrected manuscripts.</p> <p>*Under Result, please check figure 2, it indicates the monthly variations of each physico-chemical parameters</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In section 2.3correct "October 2016 to March 2019 " What is the frequency of sampling within the sample period? 	<p>*Correction has been effected in the corrected manuscripts, i.e. October 2016 to March 2017</p> <p>*Once a month for the period of six months</p>
Optional/General comments	Good research . There is more to report from the analysis to determine the uniqueness of the research	Please check the corrected manuscript, that have been taken care of.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	