



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_49064
Title of the Manuscript:	PROTEIN, ENERGY AND MICRONUTRIENT OF FIVE DIFFERENT FISHES FROM TIGA RESERVOIR, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The topic is good. The methodology requires sequential organization. The font size alignment etc. need to omit from the titles. Graphical presentations may be shown in some. Introductory part may be elaborated	(1) The topic is good – Okay (2) The methodology requires sequential organisation – Done (3) The font size alignment need to be omit from the entire titles – Done (4) Graphical presentation may be shown in some – The graphical presentation of the values will be cumbersome, except we do it for each species after another. (5) Introductory part may be elaborated - Done
Minor REVISION comments	References should follow a uniform pattern. It should be in alphabetical order.	(1) References should follow a uniform pattern – Done (2) It should be in alphabetical order – The format for journal paper did not follow alphabetical order, so I suggest we leave it as it is on the manuscript
Optional/General comments	May be published after adding the suggestions cited.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No ethical issue