



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJFAR_49073 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Economic Analysis of Small-scale Aquaculture Enterprise in Ghana; a case study of Sunyani Municipality |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| <u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments | In the summary section, international currencies should be used instead of local currency. The purpose of the study is not given clearly. The purpose should be more explicitly mentioned as superficial. The second figure cannot be understood. Table 3 cannot be understood. Please explain all equations and formulas. All aquaculture production values in the region would be appropriate. In particular, the total production amounts of enterprises surveyed will be useful. Analyzes throughout the study should be made in dollars, which is an international currency rather than a local currency. Comparisons should be made with similar studies. Discussion part should be add on this research paper. | Firstly, authors agree with the use of international currencies. Corrections would be done accordingly. The purpose of the study is clearly shown in the paper; at the aim of study. Table 3 will be explained accordingly Aquaculture values for the enterprise used foe the case study was provided. The study was compared to similar studies. This manuscript was drafted according to the journals structure for manuscript and the results and discussion are joined together. |
| <u>Minor</u> REVISION comments | More recent aquaculture data should also be used in introduction part. | If available; will be used. |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments | The English of the text should be reviewed again. | Acknowledged. |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |