



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_48694
Title of the Manuscript:	Performance Status Evaluation in a Tertiary Center in Southern Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In the result sections: Overall, only 34.4% of respondents routinely used PS these comprised 33.3% of Consultants, 50% of Senior Registrars and 22% of Registrars. Table 1 (the percentage is not correct according to the table)	Corrected with "of" replaced by "among" These comprised 33.3% among Consultants, 31.8% among Senior Registrars and 22% among Registrars. Table 1
Minor REVISION comments	Spelling and grammar mistakes were found in material and methods and discussion sections respectively.	Identified and corrections made.
Optional/General comments		The tables were reformatted to make it simpler

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	There were no ethical issues envisaged beyond the clearance of the hospital ethical board which has been sort for the study.