



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_48794
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Analysis of Health Risk Associated with Occupational Exposure to Formaldehyde in Mortuaries in Rivers State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract: The "Methods" should be described in more details.</p> <p>Introduction: Line 36: remove the first sentence since it is repeated. Line 39: add "FA" after "formaldehyde". Line 41, 73: don't mention the name of the study. Line 61: remove the letter "M" in "Hauptmann, M. et al, 2013". The introduction is long with many repetitions of the same ideas. It needs English editing and proof reading.</p> <p>Methods: Line 87: "The data used for this analysis is from a previous study conducted by the authors (Obed-Whyte, R. et al., 2019), hereafter referred to as paper 1" this sentence should be removed. Just refer to the paper as reference in case of representing same data used before. Line 89: replace "as indicated in paper 1 (Obed-Whyte, R. et al., 2019)" by "...as indicated by Obed-Whyt and his colleagues (2019)". Line 96: identify what are the semi-quantitative and qualitative risk assessment approaches used. Line 102-104: adjust grammar and tenses. Line 106: replace "...of time (ppm)" by "...of time in part per million (ppm)". Line 109: Please indicate how formaldehyde concentrations in the environment was measured. Statistical analysis is not stated in the methods section, please add.</p> <p>Results and discussion: Data presented in tables should not be represented again in paragraphs. Number of tables and figures is big and data could be gathered in less number of tables and graphs. Data represented in previous publications are not recommended to reappear in this manuscript. Just refer to them with referencing. I can find NO DISCUSSION. You must compare your results to findings of similar research and compare the situation. You must give an indication of the expected impact of your findings on the health state of workers according to literature. You must offer convenient recommendations and highlight the added value for your work.</p>	All observations, corrected implemented as recommended
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	