



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Medicine and Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_48805
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>ANAEMIA IN PREGNANCY AT BOOKING: PREVALENCE AND RISK FACTORS AMONG ANTENATAL ATTENDEES IN A SOUTHERN NIGERIA GENERAL HOSPITAL</b>
Type of the Article	<b>ORIGINAL RESEARCH PAPERS</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The theme is interesting. I have some advice.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Abstract: Define "moderate" and "severe" anemia.</li> <li>2. Please describe the study period.</li> <li>3. Please delete Figure 1. You need not occupy spaces only to show this simple figure. Save the space. Reduce the paper volume.</li> <li>4. There are some wrong English. Please perform spellcheck using spell-checker. UK English has been used. While doing so, please reconfirm that USA English is not contaminated to the text.</li> <li>5. If possible, I suggest reducing the Introduction volume. The findings here made were very simple. To describe this simple finding, you need not write so much. If you write long, the point has become unclear. Also in the discussion, you need not write "all" that you retrieved in the literature. Please reduce the volume of Discussion section as well. The shorter, the better.</li> </ol>	<p>1.moderate anaemia is defined as Hb: 7.0-9.9g/dl; severe anaemia is defined as Hb:&lt; 7.0gdl.</p> <p>2. The study lasted for 7 months (April –October, 2018).</p> <p>3.Figure 1 (depicting the haemoglobin levels of all the respondents) is deleted. By this deletion, figure 2 IS NOW FIGURE 1.</p> <p>4,5. All the highlighted areas have been attended to appropriately in the main article.</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p> <p>Apart from the ethical clearance obtained from the health research and ethical committee of the state ministry of health, the written permission obtained from the administrative head of the hospital and the consent obtained from the respondents, the research has no other ethical issues associated with it.</p>	<p>I agree with the reviewer's comments and the minor errors highlighted have been corrected appropriately. Thank you.</p>