



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_49284
Title of the Manuscript:	FATTY ACID COMPOSITIONS OF MIXED MICROALGAE FROM TILAPIA FISH PONDS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The subject of the study is simple and seems to be relevant. The significance of the work may be little more emphasised. Methodology adopted elicits appreciation.</p> <p>The labels shown in the graph needs more clarity. More data in introduction focussing the importance of the work can be included.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The labels in the graphs were clarified. (if it is still not satisfying, maybe can guide me where or what need to change). The significance of the study was emphasized. Few information were added in the introduction part.
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Should follow specific order in the reference. Alphabetical order in writing may be followed.</p> <p>Font style spacing etc. shown along with the titles maybe omitted.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The reference changed according to alphabetical order. The reference style for [15] changed according to format. Font style spacing etc. shown along with the titles were omitted
Optional/General comments	Eligible for publication after minor modifications.	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No, there is no ethical issues in this manuscript.