



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCS_47995
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparitive study of calculated and expermintal Pka values for fluoro-acetoxy derivative
Type of the Article	<u>Original Research Article</u>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Paper should be given to an English speaker to review and correct the language appropriately.	Had been done.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>All comments are indicated in the manuscript through MS word tracking</p> <p>More importantly,</p> <p>Add more references to line 115 as below</p> <p>Adesoji A. Olanrewaju, Collins U. Ibeji, Festus S. Fabiyi. Synthesis, Characterization, and Computational Studies of Metal(II) Complexes Derived from <math>\beta</math>-diketone and Para-aminobenzoic Acid. Indian Journal of Heterocyclic Chemistry Vol. 28 - Number 03 (Jul-Sep 2018) 351-361</p> <p>Ibeji, C.U., Adejoro, I.A., Adeleke, B.B. A benchmark study on the properties of unsubstituted and some substituted polypyrroles, <i>J. Phys. Chem. Biophys.</i>, <b>2015</b>, 5, 1–11</p> <p>Tables are not well formatted, they are not in the same format. Figures should not be in italics.</p>	<p>Had been done.</p> <p>Had been done.</p>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The authors should organise the abstract, introduction, methodology properly	Had been done

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No, there is no ethical issues in this manuscript.