



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Orthopaedic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJORR_48589
Title of the Manuscript:	Lisfranc Fracture: Bidirectional Cuneiform Dislocation with Successful Closed Reduction: A Case Report
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	This is a nicely written manuscript reporting a case of Lisfranc injury with bidirectional cuneiform dislocation. In the case report section, please elaborate briefly on the 14 point scale.Its better to illustrate the injury mechanism with an illustrative diagram.	The cursory review of systems is a brief assessment of subjective symptoms. I expanded on your request showing negative for other symptoms. I have included an illustrative diagram showing vectors.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory



SDI Review Form 1.6

		<i>that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></u>	