



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_45016
Title of the Manuscript:	DETERMINANTS AND MANAGEMENT OF PATIENT WAITING TIME IN THE GENERAL OUTPATIENT DEPARTMENT.A CASE STUDY OF KIBABII UNIVERSITY HEALTH CLINIC.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Topic is interesting, but the paper have to be change. First of all Authors have to prepare the text according to journal template. Chapters cannot start with tables. Authors should add text before tables or figures. Quality of figures should be improved.</p> <p>English language should be also improved. Even names of most famous persons in the field of queueing theory – David George Kendall – has mistake in the text. Authors should explain why they assumed infinite system and source limit. I do not deny this assumption, but in the article lacks explanations.</p> <p>Authors should rewrite the paper by using correct template, after language correction and according to comments above. The paper should be review one more time after that process.</p>	corrected
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	