



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pregnancy and Childbirth
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPCB_48845
Title of the Manuscript:	Multiple antenatal care bookings among pregnant women in urban and rural communities of Ebonyi State, Nigeria: a mixed method study
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This study which utilizes a mixed method is well conducted and has sound principles. The abstract is well written highlighting the method and findings. The key word should be multiple antenatal care (delete the comma).</p> <p>The method is well articulated and the statistical tests employed are appropriate. The results and tables are clearly shown. Discussion is related to the need for policy makers to review the issues of multiple antenatal visits though some issues (like strike) may not be easily overcome. Ethical approval has been obtained. The authors may indicate if funding was derived; if so, should be duly acknowledged.</p> <p>References: The authors should adhere to the style required (if Vancouver style is adopted this should be uniformly applied. Reference 7 – check style).</p> <p>The manuscript is well written and no revision is needed.</p>	<p>Comma deleted</p> <p>The research was sponsored by the Authors</p> <p>This has been corrected</p> <p>The Authors appreciate your words of commendation.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	NA	
Optional/General comments	NA	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	