



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Animal and Veterinary Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAVS_48755
Title of the Manuscript:	Coprolological, abattoir survey and economic significance of bovine fascioliasis at Sylhet region of Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>ABSTRACT – If possible give aim/objective, location, duration, statistical analysis method, result and conclusion</p> <p>INTRODUCTION – Write one or two reviews for the significance of fascioliasis in human beings with any incidences of spread and its effect</p> <p>MATERIALS AND METHODS – leave the space before mentioning of unit</p> <p>RESULTS – Add discussion to this section only</p> <p>DISCUSSION – Combine this with result section</p>	<p>Thank you very much for your valuable comments.</p> <p>In the Abstract- already mentioned the aim/objectives of this study. The location also mentioned-Sylhet division of Bangladesh. Duration: one year (September 2016 to August 2017) Method: Added the methodology in abstract section Result: already written Conclusion: Written concluding sentences</p> <p>INTRODUCTION: Added MATERIALS AND METHODS: Corrected RESULTS and DISCUSSION: Combined</p>
Minor REVISION comments	Corrections shown at respective places	Corrected
Optional/General comments	An interesting study	Thank you

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	This research work was fully field based. Therefore, there was no such issue related to animal's handling.