



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Animal and Veterinary Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAVS_48871
Title of the Manuscript:	Livestock Development for Sustainable Livelihood of Small Farmers
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract: paper reviews the distribution of different species of livestock in different countries and the strategy adopted for improving the productivity of animals owned by small farmers. Inclusion of India as a special case here, thus, negates the bigger picture, regarding the global perspective. However, it has assisted to represent possible developments among the developing countries</p> <p>Main Document: -Use of the terms productivity, livestock and efficiency; The term productivity appears to mean/insinuate yields/output/performance. It cannot effectively substitute these terms. The expression "livestock species" is expected to cover more species of animals beyond cattle, sheep, goat and pig. Poultry and rabbit are also livestock Line 153: the use of the term efficiency is wrongly used to imply yield optimization/cow which is not okay. One can be efficient in using the available inputs, and not necessarily optimizing on the available potential</p> <p>-There is need to improve on the starting of sentences, for instance in line 84, where a sentence is started with ; "Thus," and line 96, where another starts; " By 2017,...." -Lines 116 and 117: "..... cattle production is under stress, due to low productivity, shortage of fodder and feed resources,.....". The statement is incorrect.</p>	<p>Abstract has been suitably modified, taking the suggestions in to consideration</p> <p>Changes have been made and highlighted in yellow.</p> <p>Paper is now focussing on ruminants instead of referring to livestock.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	