



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_47339
Title of the Manuscript:	Toxicological, Biochemical and Haematological Studies of Ethyl acetate Extract of Persea americana Leaf in Albino Rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>KINDLY EXPLAIN IN DETAIL ABOUT THE EXTRACTION METHOD. INITIAL QUANTITY, AFTER DRYING AND EXTRACTION YIELD. KINDLY PRESENT ETHICAL COMMITTEE APPROVAL NUMBER HOW EXPERIMENT WERE RANDOMIZED? WILL THE OUTCOME ASSESSMENT WERE BLINDED? WHAT IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN Group 2- treated with ethyl acetate extract of P. americana leaf. Group 3- treated with ethyl acetate extract of P. americana leaf. Group 4- treated with ethyl acetate extract of P. americana leaf. ??</p> <p>STATISTICAL ANALYSIS WERE PERFMORED BY WHICH SOFTWARE?</p> <p>WHY ONLY THREE CONCENTRATION WARE TAKEN? THERE MUST BE ATLESST 5-7 DIFF CONC,</p> <p>KINDLY INCLUDE APPROPRIATE DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION</p>	<p>The initial weight of the sample was 200g, after drying a weight of 120g was obtained with a total extraction yield of 35.5%. The ethical committee reference number was 0653.</p> <p>The comment is not clear.</p> <p>The dosage was missing in the experimental design. Group 2 were administered with 100mg/kg body weight, Group 3- 200mg/kg body weight and Group 4- 400mg/kg body weight.</p> <p>Statistical package for social sciences (SPSS) version 17 software.</p> <p>Three concentrations were used, but future research will target 5-7 different concentrations.</p> <p>Noted</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	No ethical issues were involved.