SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry | |
|--------------------------|--|--|
| Manuscript Number: | 2019/AJRB/47339 | |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Biochemical Indices and Haematological Studies of Ethyl acetate Extract of <i>Persea americana</i> Leaf in Albino Rats | |
| Type of Article: | Original Research Article | |

| FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any) | Authors' response to final evaluator's comments | |
|--|---|--|
| Rephrase the concluding statement in the abstract. | The conclusion part is has being corrected. | |
| In the introduction I remove the last statement. You should edit your work for grammatical errors. Do not write passive. It makes the article a little to read. | Noted | |
| 2.4, You still should indicate the mode of blood collection. Also indicate how the blood was used for the different analysis as you could not use blood for LFT, lipid profile unlike the haematological test. | The section is reviewed and corrected. | |
| The superscripts a or b for identification of significance indicator are not represented in the kidney test and in the lipid profile. Verify. | The statistical analyses were verified and presented accordingly. The superscripts were positioned to denote significant different. | |
| Verify the result, i still say that this statement in the abstract "The extract was found to significantly increase (P=.05) the levels of serum ALT and albumin in the group administered with 400mg/kg of the extract, whereas total protein and globulin levels were significantly (P=.05) decreased in all the treated groups" is not true. None of this parameters is significantly different be it on the increase or decrease. Re-analyze the data and verify. That there is an increase in a value doesn't make it significant at a certain confidence level. Please do not just place a or b. perform this test and let your article stand the test of time. | The data were re-analysed and your observations were correct. The corrections were noted. | |
| This has to be corrected in the results and in the abstract. | It was noted. | |
| Remove one of the kidney function result. It is duplicated. Remove the blurred one. | It was noted and removed | |
| In The haematological results, be consistent with the figure. Use 0.10 and not .10. | The inconsistency was corrected | |
| In the discussion try to relate each of the parameters analyzed to the parent test. Eg what link does globulin has with the total protein? How does protein relate to albumin and then link it to the result. That is the only way to strengthen the discussion and make it interactive. | Noted | |
| The result affected your conclusion. Fix it | Noted | |

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.5 (4th August, 2012)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international



www.sciencedomain.org

| SDI FINAL | EVAL | UATION | FORM | 1.1 |
|------------------|------|--------|-------------|-----|
|------------------|------|--------|-------------|-----|

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.5 (4th August, 2012)