



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_48276
Title of the Manuscript:	Biochemical study of gender effect on thyroid cancer in the Egyptian population
Type of the Article	Method Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:
(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript is an interesting article that was aimed to explore the influence of sex not only with the plasma levels of TSH and T4, but also with the cellular antioxidant capacity and markers of oxidative stress such as malodialdehyde in thyroiditis and in thyroid cancer as compared to healthy controls. Although the article would provide a valuable contribution to the research in etiopathogenesis of thyroid diseases, I suggest a revision of the manuscript from an English mother-tongue, given the presence of a number of grammatical and syntactic errors. In addition, at page 3 of Methods section, the reported prevalence of thyroid cancer seems incorrect, since thyroid cancer represents approximately 3.8% of all new cancer cases. Finally, the bibliography is very difficult to read due to the formatting used.	First of all I appreciate all your comments and I would like to thank you for your valuable comments that help us to improve the paper a lot; I made the necessary adjustments to the article hoping manuscript gets your admiration. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Manuscript revision is done, if there are any other mistakes please tell. • In method section the prevalence of thyroid cancer is corrected. • The bibliography reformatted.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		



PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	