



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_48276
Title of the Manuscript:	Biochemical study of gender effect on thyroid cancer in the Egyptian population
Type of the Article	Method Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The writing is terrible, the formatting is terrible and unprofessional. The whole thing needs to be rewritten, edited, text, figures and references formatted properly. And do not use standard error of the mean – either use standard deviation or – for P < 0.05 – 95% confidence intervals (that are 1.96SE).	First of all I appreciate all your comments and I would like to thank you for your valuable comments that help us to improve the paper a lot; I made the necessary adjustments to the article hoping manuscript gets your admiration. The whole manuscript is reformed, and I used standard deviation instead of standard error.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	