



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_48429
Title of the Manuscript:	SOCIO-CULTURAL DETERMINANTS OF SPREAD OF EBOLA OUTBEAK IN WEST AFRICA 2014- 2016: LESSONS LEARNT
Type of the Article	Commentary; Theoretical.

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	The paper has very few comments. It is a position paper.	Ok noted
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	No. the paper is based on the observation s of the author on the issue generally as it affects the region under discussion.	
If plagiarism is suspected, <u>please provide related proofs or web links.</u>	There are much dependence on Refs 3 and 6 that could make one think in that line.	