



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_46121
Title of the Manuscript:	THE EFFECT OF ETHANOLIC EXTRACT OF TRIDAX PROCUMBENS ON POTASSIUM BROMATE INDUCED TOXICITY IN THE KIDNEY OF WISTAR RATS.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Since the kidney weight before treatment could not be measured, you should not interpret the results as increasing in its weight after treatment. 2. Though your results show that the average kidney weight of rats in group B was higher than the average kidney weight of control group, as seen from table 1, the initial average body weight of rats in group B was highest and; in relative to their body weight, the initial average kidney weight of group B should be possibly heavier than the kidneys of other groups. Based on this fact, the results in table 2 might mean nothing. 3. Additionally, the histological findings of kidney of group B as shown in figure 1 seem not much different from the findings in other groups. Only mild distortion of glomeruli was seen. 4. Furthermore, the histological finding could not explain why the kidney weight was increased or heavier from the toxicity of potassium bromate. 	The authors are grateful for the contributions and comments of the reviewer's and have made necessary changes to the work.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	