## SCIENCEDOMAIN international



www.sciencedomain.org

## SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)		Authors' response to editor's comments
1.		Thank you for the observations.
2.	After carefully reviewing the manuscript and the reviewers' considerations, I came to the conclusion that the article needs a major and more careful review by the authors.	For your inconvenience, the authors were not the ones that made the submission for the corrections on the observations, initially raised by the reviewers. It was automatically sent by sciencedomain.
3.	While it is evident that the authors have struggled to meet much of the reviewers' demands, there are still some serious problems in	The corrections and observations are looked into and the necessary corrections were made.
	the text that need to be better clarified.	Thank you.
4.	There are problems with article writing and technical problems involving the methodology, with parts that are not clear.	
5.	The conclusion is poorly written and makes statements that could not be observed merely by analyzing the results obtained at work. Some references are old and could be updated. I am sending back the manuscript attached to this e-mail with my detailed comments. Based on the above I can not recommend publishing the article the way it is. It is necessary a new revision by the authors and mandatory correction of the indicated problems.	
6.		