



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJRIMPS_48125</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>SCREENING OF ANTIMICROBIAL ACTIVITY OF VARIOUS EXTRACTS OF THE STEM JUSTICIA GENDARUSSA</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>References in the text are not done to the style of the journal.</p> <p>There are typographical errors in the text that must be corrected.</p> <p>The CONCLUSION can mention specifically the general outcome of the Study (the current Conclusion sounds like a philosophical statement not Relevant to the data).</p>	<p>References modified to the journal style</p> <p>Errors corrected</p> <p><b>Conclusion</b> From the above study, it is concluded that the plant <i>Justicia gendarussa</i> has significant antibacterial activity against <i>Escherichia coli</i>, and <i>S. aureus</i>. Also the plant is found to be a potent antifungal agent against <i>Candida albicans</i>.</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>The Figures and Tables are useful, explanatory, and well presented.</p> <p>The subject matter is relevant and interesting.</p> <p>Following corrections of revisions stated above the paper is suitable for publication.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>