



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_48125
Title of the Manuscript:	SCREENING OF ANTIMICROBIAL ACTIVITY OF VARIOUS EXTRACTS OF THE STEM JUSTICIA GENDARUSSA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>References in the text are not done to the style of the journal.</p> <p>There are typographical errors in the text that must be corrected.</p> <p>The CONCLUSION can mention specifically the general outcome of the Study (the current Conclusion sounds like a philosophical statement not Relevant to the data).</p>	<p>References modified to the journal style</p> <p>Errors corrected</p> <p>Conclusion From the above study, it is concluded that the plant <i>Justicia gendarussa</i> has significant antibacterial activity against <i>Escherichia coli</i>, and <i>S. aureus</i>. Also the plant is found to be a potent antifungal agent against <i>Candida albicans</i>.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>The Figures and Tables are useful, explanatory, and well presented.</p> <p>The subject matter is relevant and interesting.</p> <p>Following corrections of revisions stated above the paper is suitable for publication.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	



SDI Review Form 1.6

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>