



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_48544
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE EVALUATION OF THE ANTIBACTERIAL EFFECTS OF HONEY WITH STANDARD ANTIBIOTIC ON BACTERIAL ISOLATES FROM WOUND INFECTIONS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Before being accepted for publication, I think that this work must be more detailed (characterization, identification and isolation of the honey compounds that can be at the origin of the observed effect, and determination of their mechanism of action).	Thank you for the observation. But Sir/Ma, the work was an undergraduate research project that had not really go into such details of characterization, identification and isolation of honey compounds. We limited our selves to what we have done.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	