



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRNH_48943
Title of the Manuscript:	Assesement of Some Heavy contaminants (Cu, Fe and Ni) present in Long term Exposure and Daily Consumption of Ready-to-eat foods sold at Petrol station's Atmospheric conditions (AF) in Calabar Metropolis.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The topic reads ...”Long term Exposure and Daily Consumption”...but the work only covers daily?</p> <p>Why was only three metals considered?</p> <p>The study does not have a control.</p> <p>The discussion did not contain any actual values of metal concentration in the samples since results were presented in bar graphs. Bar graphs were poorly labelled.</p> <p>How did this get in when you analysed for only Ni, Cu and Fe... It was observed from the results of this study showed that petrol refueling stations atmosphere, introduced high levels of Pb and Cd into garri, afang soup, melon soup, white rice, beans, stew and meat pie sold within premises of the refueling stations. Also, high level of Cr was recorded in garri, afang soup, melon soup, white rice, beans, stew and meat pie at the petrol stations in Calabar, compared to the level recorded for the freshly prepared foods...please review your discussion</p>	<p>Done</p> <p>done</p>
Minor REVISION comments	In what unit was the heavy metal concentration expressed?	done
Optional/General comments	The topic should be corrected	done

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	