



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_48931
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPACT OF TRICHODERMA ENHANCED COMPOSTING TECHNOLOGY IN IMPROVING SOIL PRODUCTIVITY
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Abstract</b> - make abstract like this: aim, place, duration, design of study, result, conclusion; leave the space before mentioning of values</p> <p><b>Background of study, Research questions, research objectives, justification</b> – not required delete them as they are not there in author guide lines, combine and do that as Introduction</p> <p><b>Introduction</b> - references to be numbered sequentially and to be given in reference section leave the space before mentioning of values</p> <p><b>Materials and Method</b> – references to be numbered sequentially and to be given in reference section Give reference for the laboratory analysis of N, P, K, B, S, Zn, soil p<sup>H</sup> and organic carbon leave the space before mentioning of values</p> <p><b>Result and Discussion</b> - Leave the space before mentioning of units; The references mentioned in discussion to be sequentially numbered in square bracket</p> <p><b>Conclusion</b> - To this only add recommendations also</p> <p><b>References</b> - All the references mentioned in introduction, method and discussion sequentially arranged in references with numbers</p>	<p>Thank you for your comments</p> <p>All the comments/corrections/modifications suggested by the reviewer have been effected accordingly.</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Required corrections are shown in relevant places	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	A detailed study study	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	