



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Plant Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_APRJ_46504
Title of the Manuscript:	Studies on the Antibacterial Activity and Chemical Composition of Methanol Extract of Cochlospermum Tinctorium Root
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Minor Revision. The Abstract, Listeria monocytogenes should be spelt separated. The concentration of the methanol used should be specified. Result- Why the use of TLC, Column and Gas chromatography at same time. The reasons should be explained. Results/ Discussion session should be separated into two different sessions. The discussion should be more robust. Table 4 should utilized anova to establish the level of significance.	All the correction were effected accordingly.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) Yes, the author should establish the source of ethical clearance.	No