SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_ARJASS_45768**
Title of the Manuscript:	**JOB SATISFACTION AMONG STAFF OF THE UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION, WINNEBA: THE CASE STUDY OF THE COLLEGE OF TECHNOLOGY EDUCATION, KUMASI**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**This article has large number of errors such as incorrectly constructed sentences, incorrectly located punctuation marks, missing punctuation marks, incorrectly capitalized words, incorrectly presented in-text references, some missing in-text references, and inconsistent, incorrect and incomplete presentation of references in the references' section. This article was supposed to be error-free before submission for reviewing. The author/authors is/are advised to secure the services of a professional editor and ensure that the next submission is error-free.**	
Minor REVISION comments	-	
Optional/General comments	-	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	