SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_ARJASS_45768**
Title of the Manuscript:	**JOB SATISFACTION AMONG STAFF OF THE UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION, WINNEBA: THE CASE STUDY OF THE COLLEGE OF TECHNOLOGY EDUCATION, KUMASI**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**1. Grammatical errors across the manuscript** **2. Outdated references** **3. Weak problem statement, as there is no need to mention about the human and machine in this regard. It was better to talk direct about the problem other than go to the machine which are not related** **4. Draw the empirical framework** **5. Research design you have to mention its mix methods** **6. What is the criteria of sampling for both questionnaire and interview** **7. Check the referencing style**	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	