SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Research Journal of Agriculture**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_ARJA_49197**
Title of the Manuscript:	**The effect of different energy source on the growth performance of Clarias gariepinus fingerlings**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Text should be in one font and all same size** **In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets** **Ethical approval of the study should be included** **Describing briefly Pearson's square method might be useful to the readers interested in replicating experiments** **Equations for SGR, FCR, PER, and ANPU are missing** **A brief description of the proximate analysis would be useful for readers interested in replicating experiments**	Yes we have corrected the front size. Is now in uniform I've indicated the citations with numbers in bracket I think pearson square method is a part of approach involves in fish feed formulation so no need of description. I've included the ethical approval The equation of SGR, PER, FCR and ANPU was included Proximate analysis is a part of analyzing the nutrient components of the ingredients being used.... so no need add it.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	