



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_47780
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF THE EFFECT OF HORMONAL CONTRACEPTIVES ON URINARY TRACT INFECTION IN WOMEN IN PORT HARCOURT
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Line 28, refer to the guideline for citation. Make corrections throughout the manuscript. Add a paragraph of conclusions after discussion. 	The necessary corrections have been made. Thank you
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Line 63, do not start sentence with numbers. Make corrections throughout. Line 96, add respectively at the end of sentence. Line 132, it should be 30-39 years. Line 166, italicize <i>E. coli</i>. Line 190, delete 'be' used after could. Rephrase the sentences between lines 204-206 and 220-221. Line 210, use was for is. Line 229, use periurethral joined. Make corrections throughout. 	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Line 93, try bacterial for bacteria. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>