



**SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM**

<b>EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)</b>	<b>Authors' response to editor's comments</b>
<p>1.Introduction: had so many sentences not backed with citations</p> <p>2. Methods</p> <p>Was pregnancy test conducted on the participants? if yes, where are the results</p> <p>Was a random blood sugar to determine or rule out Diabetes mellitus conducted on the research participants? If yes, where are the results? Was there any other confirmatory test for Diabetes mellitus conducted? If yes, where are the results?</p> <p>The conclusion is not clear, there is no statement on conflict of interest</p> <p>Most notable in the method is the deficiency or the lack of description on how the microorganisms were identified. If this was an omission in the manuscript, it should be included</p> <p>How the isolates where identified and confirmed. How the confirmation of the various microorganisms were undertaken.</p> <p>The References need to be redone</p>	<p><b>2. Pregnancy and Diabetes were exclusion criteria. Subjects positive to these conditions were not part of the study. Hence, attention was not paid to the first set of result involving pregnancy and diabetes.</b></p> <p><b>3. There was no conflict of interest.</b></p> <p><b>4. The confirmation of various microorganisms isolated was achieved by Gram staining procedure and Biochemical tests which include: catalase test, coagulase test, indole test, oxidase test, citrate test, urease test and motility test.</b></p> <p><b>5. References done according to the Journal' style.</b></p>