



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_49152
Title of the Manuscript:	Hypergeometric Functions on Cumulative Distribution Function
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	A very well executed and very well structured paper. Topics are developed according to the planned objectives and the proposed results have been achieved. The way the subject is distributed is well didactic. I was also pleased to have a chapter containing conclusions and discussions.	Thank you for your words.
Minor REVISION comments	In the page 1, Introduction: ... with some of its <u>properties</u> analytical properties and especial cases. Better is "analytical properties" only. In the page 3, Gauss's name is spelled <u>Guass</u> . On page 7, the equation abbreviation appears as equ. In the other points of the text as eqn. It would be interesting to standardize the notation.	Suggested changes are applied. In the page 1, Introduction: Correction is being made In the Page 3, Spelling is being corrected. On page 7, correction is being made and abbreviations are standardized.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	