



## SDI Review Form 1.6

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Mathematics</a>          |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_ARJOM_49254   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | A Novel Method for Optimizing Fractional Grey Prediction Model |
| Type of the Article      | Method Article   |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

|                                     | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)  |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments | <b>This article proposes a new method for Optimizing Fractional Grey Prediction Model. The concept is good but the abstract could have been a bit more reader-friendly and so blunt as it is. A small introduction has to exist as the first sentence before proceeding to the existing and proposed models. The real introduction is good but can be shortened a bit. The following parts of the article are satisfactory and commendable. The 'example analysis' is nice and makes the paper more understandable. Conclusion can be a more elaborative to make the paper satisfactory in all angles.</b> | We would like to express our thankfulness for your much constructive and valuable advices. We have revised the paper following your comments and suggestions. The changes made in the revision appear in yellow, and the answers to your comments are listed as follows.<br><br>First of all, thank you for reading, and we have actively adopted the valuable comments you have given us. In accordance with your opinion, the abstract and conclusions of our manuscript have been revised to improve the content and expression. See the yellow label area. Finally, thank you again for your comments and reading.<br><br>Finally, we have thoroughly revised the paper in order to present a more clear and concise manuscript. We hope these corrections will meet with approval. Once again, thank you very much for your comments. |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments      | Small changes as quoted above will make the paper attractive.  |  |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments    | NONE   |  |

### PART 2:

|  | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u> |   |