



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_49254
Title of the Manuscript:	A Novel Method for Optimizing Fractional Grey Prediction Model
Type of the Article	Method Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The paper contains many syntactical and grammatical errors. The texts should be improved with proofreading. (Line 6, 9, 267, etc.)	We would like to express our thankfulness for your much constructive and valuable advices. We have revised the paper following your comments and suggestions. The changes made in the revision appear in yellow, and the answers to your comments are listed as follows. We are very sorry about this issue. Now, we have made the English-speaking experts correct the language of the revised manuscript. Finally, we have thoroughly revised the paper in order to present a more clear and concise manuscript. We hope these corrections will meet with approval. Once again, thank you very much for your comments.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	