



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_37720
Title of the Manuscript:	FUNGITOXIC POTENTIALS OF EXTRACTS OF PLANT ORIGIN AGAINST FUGAL ROOT ROT OF CASSAVA (<i>Manihot esculenta</i> Crantz) IN STORAGE
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>7-8 : Harmonize the font and size of Piper guineense, Ocimum gratimum, Casia alata, and Tagetes erecta</p> <p>56: Why of TME 419 variety used?</p> <p>69: The rotted cassava roots used were naturally infected?</p> <p>74 : Delete e to write pathogenicity</p> <p>75: What is the age of the fresh healthy, washed and sterilized cassava roots used? Were harvested 10 or 12 months after planting? the diameter of cassava roots was identical ? Inoculation by injury or no injury?</p> <p>117: are they ten uninfected cassava roots used of the same variety (TME 419 variety)? Were they harvested under the same conditions?</p> <p>129 : Review the font and size</p> <p>163-166 : Review the font and size of table 1</p> <p>183-186 : Harmonize the font and size of table 2</p>	<p>Font size Harmonized</p> <p>Line 56: TME 419, though high yielding but highly susceptible.</p> <p>Line 69: They were naturally infected.</p> <p>Line 74: done</p> <p>Line 75:10 months after planting. The diameter was not identical. Inoculation was by injury.</p> <p>Line 117: The cassava roots used were from the same variety and harvested under the same condition.</p> <p>Line 129. Reviewed</p> <p>Line 163-166: Reviewed</p> <p>Line 183-186: Harmonized</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		