



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Annual Research &amp; Review in Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_48336
Title of the Manuscript:	DISTRIBUTION AND HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT OF CHROMIUM, MANGANESE AND ARSENIC VIA INGESTION FOODS FROM INDUSTRIALISED LOCATIONS IN THE SOUTH EASTERN STATES OF NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The quality of writing is not good. Reedit –rewrite throughout the manuscript for grammar and clarity enhancing, including where yellow highlighted on the copy of manuscript reattached here.	I read through to correct errors and also re-wrote the highlighted parts in the manuscript. I really appreciate these corrections. Thank you.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	