



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_48480
Title of the Manuscript:	REVIEW ON PLANTS THERAPEUTIC EFFECTS AGAINST GASTROINTESTINAL MICROBES
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The abstract is poorly written.</p> <p>The referencing style in the body of the work is not in line with SDI authors' guideline. Revise.</p> <p>All the places highlighted in red are to be revised. Those in blue are poorly referenced.</p> <p>The introduction is poorly written. It has to be improved.</p> <p>There are lots of grammatical errors in the manuscript and the manuscript is generally poorly referenced being a review with a wide scope "plants".</p> <p>There is no organization in the manuscript.</p> <p>The manuscript is vague and ambiguous.</p> <p>There are lots of copy and paste on this manuscript.</p> <p>The reference are in not in line with SDI authors' guideline.</p>	<p>The corrections were made based on the raised points.</p> <p>Thank you for the contribution.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No any ethical issues
If plagiarism is suspected, please provide related proofs or web links.	<p>Lots of them</p> <p>http://downloads.hindawi.com/journals/ecam/2013/617459.pdf</p> <p>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22846603</p>	