



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_48480
Title of the Manuscript:	REVIEW ON PLANTS THERAPEUTIC EFFECTS AGAINST GASTROINTESTINAL MICROBES
Type of Article:	Review Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<p>Earlier i said that the scope" plants Therapeutic effects" is wide and cannot be covered in a review like the present one. Reduce the scope a niche of some plants and focus their otherwise do an extensive work. Howbeit the present is to be revised.</p> <p>The abstract is still poorly written.</p> <p>The introduction is poorly written. It has to be improved. Try to include the gastrointestinal organisms you wish to study in the introduction.</p> <p>The references are in not in line with SDI authors' guideline. See authors' guideline.</p> <p>There are lots of copy and paste in this manuscript. This is plagiarism and it has to be avoided. As a reviewer it is unacceptable. If you choose to use their articles you have to paraphrase the outcome of their findings and wordings. Nevertheless, you also need to come up with more recent articles on this review area and compare their outcome to appreciate the review. The way it is presently is unacceptable.</p> <p>The manuscript need to be organized better.</p> <p><i>The author also needs to highlight the changes he made in yellow per time.</i></p>	<p>The topic has been changed that will suit the scope.(REVIEW ON SOME PLANTS' THERAPEUTIC EFFECTS AGAINST GASTROINTESTINAL MICROBES).</p> <p>Abstract has been improved.</p> <p>The introduction as well has been improved as raised.</p> <p>References have been updated as far ordered by the guidelines.</p> <p>I normally do the work in so many folders that was why, will show that as if was pasted. But the reviewed text has been proofread and acknowledged the authors as well.</p>
	Am so grateful with the dedication rendered towards my article.