



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Soil Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ASRJ_47089
Title of the Manuscript:	Microbial remediation of Used Engine Oil from Contaminated Soil around Automobile Workshop in Calabar Metropolis, Cross River State, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Grammatical errors must be corrected. Some of them are highlighted in yellow font. In 2.4.1 Physico-chemical Parameters Analysis of the Soil Samples, the methods of analysis of the soil sample were not clearly stated. Each of the methods should be sectionalized and the author should clearly state how it was carried out. In tables 2 and 3, the superscripts <i>a,b,c....d</i> does not make meaning to any reader. The meaning of the superscript should be stated and the comparism among samples clearly expantiated below each table 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> All the grammatical errors have been checked and corrected. The method used for determining each physicochemical parameter understudied in this article is clearly explained and reference in section 2.4.1. PLEASE CHECK THE MANUSCRIPT FOR YOUR VERIFICATION Interpretation of the superscript <i>a, b,c....d</i> is clearly stated in the legend under each table as superscript showing significant differences. PLEASE CHECK THE CORRECTED MANUSCRIPT FOR YOUR VERIFICATION.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	