



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_32134
Title of the Manuscript:	A Hybrid Question Answering System
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Line No. 49: hand-crafted grammar rules?</p> <p>Line No. 60: "semantically and identifies the answer type" – What are the answer types?</p> <p>Line No. 93: What is Text2KB?</p> <p>Line No 89: Results and Discussion need to be elaborated. Details of dataset, comparison with similar methods need to be incorporated.</p> <p>Please clarify the Plagiarism:</p> <p>The idea and explanation is very similar to : "Seonyeong Park, et al., Question Answering System using Multiple Information Source and Open Type Answer Merge, Proceedings of NAACL-HLT 2015, pages 111–115, Denver, Colorado, May 31 – June 5, 2015." Link: https://www.google.co.in/url?sa=t&rct=j&q=&esrc=s&source=web&cd=1&cad=rja&uact=8&ved=0ahUKEwjKgJ-W8Z3SAhVFQ48KHxwzCrgQFggbMAA&url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.aclweb.org%2Fanthology%2FN15-3023&usg=AFQjCNGwkDWMoJOAAsSPXL6kTpO0-8LpnA&sig2=ONgpsEqeE0uAgnTc7pZ2hQ</p>	<p>Clarifications/additions are highlighted in text.</p> <p>Regarding the shown similarity between our methodology and some contents of the given reference title in your comment : That work is totally based on English language and our work is based on Arabic language. So, the language processing tools are different and</p>



SDI Review Form 1.6

		the dataset used is also different.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Related work and the novelty of the proposed work need to be discussed.	Related work has been incorporated in the introduction section. Provided in highlighted text. The work is new on Arabic language. Novelty is clarified at the end of the introduction section. Highlighted text is provided.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Line no. 22: What is KBQA?	Clarified with highlighted text