



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Biotechnology Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJI_48604
Title of the Manuscript:	Pathogenicity of One <i>Streptococcus suis</i> Type 2 isolation to mice or to swine
Type of the Article	Original research papers

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments		
	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Title: The title of this research is not clearly written. Please re-title the work clearly. Abstract: The abstract is not written using the journal guideline, Please, the abstract needs to be written using the journal guideline. Methodology: The study design and place of study should be clearly stated. Discussion: This was not written at all. Please write the discussion comparing this study with similar studies that were previously done.	1. Title: Pathogenicity of a strain of <i>Streptococcus suis</i> Type 2 to mice and swine isolated from Jingzhou city 2. I have revised the abstract based on your journal guideline. 3. The study design and place of study have been clearly stated. 4. The discussion has been added to the manuscript based on your remand. And all the corrections are highlighted in yellow colour.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:		
	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	None.