



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Biotechnology Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJI_49149
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploration on the Effectiveness of Radio Frequency Treatment and Flash Pasteurisation on Enzyme and Microbial Activity for Coconut Water Preservation
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract - make abstract like this: aim, place, duration, design of study, result, conclusion; leave the space before mentioning of values</p> <p>Introduction - references to be numbered sequentially in [] and to be given in reference section leave the space before mentioning of values</p> <p>Materials and Method – references to be numbered sequentially and to be given in reference section leave the space before mentioning of values</p> <p>Result and Discussion - Leave the space before mentioning of units; Table 1 in result section need rows to be put for easy Reading Make P in statistical analysis as ($p = .05$) The references need to be mentioned in discussion to discuss your result and references to be sequentially numbered in square bracket</p> <p>References - All the references mentioned in introduction, method and discussion sequentially arranged in references with numbers</p>	Given corrections are acceptable and are made.
Minor REVISION comments	Required corrections are shown in relevant places	
Optional/General comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No, there is no ethical issues.

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>