



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_48678
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF BALLOON CATHETER ANGIOPLASTY ALONE FOR RECURRENT BILATERAL RENAL ARTERY RESTENOSIS AND QUICK DETERIORATION FOR HEMODIALYSIS: AN ALTERNATIVE FOR IN-STENT RESTENOSIS
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract: give a brief background information with relevant facts, most important issue and demonstrate that you have researched the problem of the research study.</p> <p>Introduction: -the in-text Vancouver citation is placed immediately after the text.</p> <p>Presenting of the case: You provide solutions needed but you should explain why this solutions were chosen the support this solutions with solid evidence.</p> <p>You should write recommendation: Discuss specific strategies for accomplishing the proposed solutions and recommend further actions to resolve some of these issues.</p>	<p>Thank you so much for valuable comments.</p> <p>Abstract: a brief background was inserted.</p> <p>Introduction: it was corrected as requested.</p> <p>Presenting of the case: we explained in the Discussion section. It was highlighted. However, there is no solid evidence in the Literature about the issue.</p> <p>These recommendations were highlighted in the Conclusion section.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	