



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_44289
Title of the Manuscript:	Assesment of Cassava effluent contaminated soil in Ohimini L.G.A, Benue State, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In general, the paper is standard for publication as the title is well defined in the work.	
Minor REVISION comments	1. No clear and concise objective, Author should state a simple, clear and concise objective for this paper. 2. The word wastewater should be change to waste fluid (effluent) as use in the text. 3. Ohimini should be remove from the key word and replace with effluent	The objective has been clearly stated. Water and fluid are same but i changed it to fluid . Ohimini has been replaced with effluent Thank you
Optional/General comments	Accept the paper with minor revisions as stated above	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	There are no ethical issues in this manuscript