



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_45600
Title of the Manuscript:	Characterization of Fungal Biofloculants and its Application in Water Treatment
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The study is well planned. Give justification for following methods</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. for growing fungi, generally optimum pH is 4-6, why cultures at pH 7? 2. for growing fungi, generally opted temperature is 28°C - 30°C, why cultures at 37°C? 3. while optimization for carbon and nitrogen source also used some cheaper sources instead of chemicals..... 4. Why for the study used <i>Aspergillus</i> sp.,? why can't use some non-pathogenic fungi? Because the crude extract collected from <i>Aspergillus</i> sp., may consists of fungal toxins too.... <p>Correct methodology accordingly and resend the paper</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The pH used was actually 6.5 not 7 as initially reported, we regret the mistake 2. The temperature of incubation was 24 °C and not 37 °C, the error in write up is regretted 3. Comment 3 and 4 is noted for further studies
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	